



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,856	07/15/2003	Stefan Dessloch	SVL920020048US1/3793P	9144
45728	7590	11/19/2007	EXAMINER	
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP P.O. BOX 51418 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			COLAN, GIOVANNA B	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2162		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com
nikia@sawyerlawgroup.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,856	DESSLOCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Giovanna Colan	2162

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,4-13,15,18-27 and 46-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 4-13,15,18-27,46-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is issued in response to applicant filed request for continued examination (RCE) on 09/05/2007.
2. Claims 1, 4 – 13, 15, and 18 – 27 have been amended. Claims 46 – 50 were added. Claims 2 – 3, 14, 16 – 17, and 28 – 45 were canceled.
3. Claims 1, 4 – 13, 15, 18 – 27, and 46 – 50 are pending in this application.
4. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claim 1, 4 – 13, 15, and 18 – 27, and added claims 46 – 50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
5. Examiner makes note that the limitation "superclass", recited in claim 1, line 10, page 4 of amendment to the claims dated 09/05/2007, is underlined. However, the limitation "superclass" is not an added limitation.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

6. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

Art Unit: 2162

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/11/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 1, 4 – 13, 15, 18 – 27, and 46 – 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham Spencer (Spencer hereinafter) (US 5,577,241) in view of Witkowski et al. (hereinafter Witkowski) (US Patent No. 6,775,662 B1).

Regarding Claims 1, 15, and 46, Spencer discloses a computer readable medium encoded with a computer program for representing a query statement having an atomic query element and a combined query element related by a combined operator, the atomic query element being a noniterative query element, the combined query element including a left subelement and a right subelement, the computer program comprising computer executable instructions for:

defining an abstract superclass, wherein an instance of the abstract super class represents the query element ([57], Abstract, "...The query architecture is based on an abstract base class of query nodes, or code objects that retrieve records from the database. Specific subclasses for particular query models are derived from the base class. Each query node class includes a search function that iteratively searches the database for matching records....", Spencer) and includes an operation on a combination of the combined operator, the query element, and the combined query element (Col. 3, lines 23 – 37, Spencer).

Spencer also discloses: defining a first subclass of the abstract subclass, wherein an instance of the first subclass represents a query element (Col. 3, lines 50 – 57, Spencer). However, Spencer does not explicitly disclose that such instance of the first subclass represents the atomic query element. On the other hand, Witkowski

Art Unit: 2162

discloses that: an instance of the first subclass represents the atomic query element (Fig. 5, item 521, Col. 11, lines 2 – 5, Witkowski). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the Witkowski's teachings to the system of Spencer. Skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so, as suggested by Witkowski (Col. 4 – 5, 66-67 and 1 – 9; respectively, Witkowski), to conserve resources that would otherwise be wasted by generating rows that could not possibly satisfy the criteria.

Furthermore, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses: defining a second subclass of the abstract superclass, wherein an instance of the second subclass represents the combined query element including the left subelement and the right subelement (Fig. 2, item 201.X and 203.X, Col. 6, lines 16 – 22, Spencer), and wherein each of the left subelement (Fig. 5, item 524, Col. 11, lines 1 – 5, Witkowski¹) and right subelement are representable by an instance of the first subclass or the second subclass of the abstract super class (Fig. 5, item 514, Col. 11, lines 7 – 9, Witkowski²);

indicating a relationship between the first subclass and the second subclass defined by the combined operator (Col. 4, lines 58 – 64, Spencer; and Col. 12, lines 32 – 36, Witkowski).

Regarding Claims 4, 18, and 47, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a computer readable medium, wherein:

¹ Wherein "gc = 1" corresponds to the left subelement claimed.

Art Unit: 2162

the instance of the abstract superclass represents a table reference (Col. 11, lines 38 – 46, Witkowski);

the instance of the first class represents an unjoined table (Fig. 5, item 521, Col. 10 and 11, lines 60 – 62 and 2 – 4; respectively, Witkowski); and

the instance of the second class represents a joined table (Fig. 5, item 513, Col. 10, lines 34 – 35, joined by operator “OR”, Witkowski).

Regarding Claims 5, 19, and 48, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a computer readable medium, wherein:

the instance of the abstract superclass represents a value expression ([57], Abstract, Spencer Fig. 5, item 511, Col. 11, lines 11 – 13, Witkowski³);

the instance of the first subclass represents an atomic value expression (Col. 3, lines 50 – 57, Spencer; and Fig. 5, item 521, Col. 10 and 11, lines 60 – 61 and 2 – 5; respectively, Witkowski); and

the instance of the second subclass comprises a combined value expression (Fig. 2, item 201.X and 203.X, Col. 6, lines 16 – 22, Spencer; and Fig. 5, item 513, Col. 11, lines 7 – 9, Witkowski⁴).

² Wherein “gc = 1 AND d>0” corresponds to the right subelement claimed.

³ Witkowski discloses root node 511 that references the value expression of : “where (a>3 AND (b<1 OR b=0) AND (gc=1 OR (gc=0 AND d>0) OR (c=0 and d<0)))” (Col 10, lines 33 – 35, Witkowski).

⁴ Witkowski (Fig. 5) discloses a parent node (item 513), which corresponds to the second subclass, and nchild nodes (items 524, 514, 515, 525, 526, 527, and 528), which correspond to a combined value expression (Col. 12, lines 21 – 23, “gc=1 OR (gc=0 AND d>0) OR (c=0 and d<0)”, Witkowski).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding Claims 6, 20, and 49, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a computer readable medium, wherein:

the instance of the abstract superclass represents a search condition ([57], Abstract, Spencer; and Fig. 5, item 511, Col. 11, lines 11 – 13, Witkowski⁵);
the instance of the first subclass represents an atomic search condition (Col. 3, lines 50 – 57, Spencer; and Fig. 5, item 521, Col. 10 and 11, lines 60 – 61 and 2 – 5; respectively, Witkowski); and

the instance of the second subclass represents a combined search condition (Fig. 2, item 201.X and 203.X, Col. 6, lines 16 – 22, Spencer; and Fig. 5, item 513, Col. 11, lines 7 – 9, Witkowski⁶).

Regarding Claims 7, 21, and 50, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a computer readable medium, wherein:

the instance of the abstract superclass represents a group-by query element ([57], Abstract, Spencer; and Col. 6, lines 38 – 40, Witkowski);
the instance of the first subclass represents a group (Col. 3, lines 50 – 57, Spencer; and Col. 11, lines 16 – 17, Witkowski); and
the instance of the second subclass represents a grouping set (Fig. 2, item 201.X and 203.X, Col. 6, lines 16 – 22, Spencer; and Col. 11, lines 34 – 37, Witkowski).

⁵ Witkowski discloses root node 511 that references the value expression of : “where (a>3 AND (b<1 OR b=0) AND (gc=1 OR (gc=0 AND d>0) OR (c=0 and d<0))” (Col 10, lines 33 – 35, Witkowski).

⁶ Witkowski (Fig. 5) discloses a parent node (item 513), which corresponds to the second subclass, and nchild nodes (items 524, 514, 515, 525, 526, 527, and 528), which correspond to a combined value expression (Col. 12, lines 21 – 23, “gc=1 OR (gc=0 AND d>0) OR (c=0 and d<0)”, Witkowski).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding Claims 8 and 22, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a structure, wherein the combined query element comprises a nested query language element (Fig. 5, item 514, 525, and 526, Col. 10, lines 33 – 35, element 514 comprising: “**(gc=0 AND d>0)**” is nested over element 513 comprising: “**(gc=1 OR (gc=0 AND d>0) OR (c=0 and d<0))**”, Witkowski).

Regarding Claims 9 and 23, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a structure, wherein the combined query element comprises an iterative query language element (Col. 11, lines 26 – 27, Witkowski⁷).

Regarding Claims 10 and 24, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a structure, further comprising:

means for receiving the query statement (Fig. 1, item 110, Col. 6, lines 29 – 32, Witkowski) having the atomic query element (Col. 10, line 34, **a>3**, Witkowski) and the combined query element associated by the combined operator (Col. 10, line 34, **gc=1 OR (gc=0 AND d>0) OR (c=0 and d<0)**, Witkowski); and

means for populating the structure respectively with instances of the abstract superclass ([57], Abstract, Spencer), the first subclass (Col. 3, lines 50 – 57, Spencer),

⁷ Witkowski discloses a method for recursively creating parent nodes (item 513 in Fig. 5 is a parent node and also corresponds to the second subclass in the superclass). This method, utilizing recursion, involves repetition, recurrence, and/or iteration. In addition, Witkowski also discloses a method for processing conjunctions, which would later be used in the predicate query tree of Fig. 5, including an iterative loop (Col. 8, lines 37 – 38).

Art Unit: 2162

and the second subclass that represent the received query statement (Fig. 2, item 201.X and 203.X, Col. 6, lines 16 – 22, Spencer; and Fig. 4, items 410, 420, and 430, and 440, Col. 10, lines 57 – 58, Witkowski).

Regarding Claims 11 and 25, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a structure, wherein the means for receiving the query statement includes means for receiving the query statement from a user-interface (Col. 4, lines 43 – 47, Spencer; and Fig. 6, item 618, Col. 13, lines 64 – 67, Witkowski).

Regarding Claims 12 and 26, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a structure, wherein the means for receiving the query statement includes means for receiving the query statement from an application interface (Col. 4, lines 43 – 47, Spencer; and Fig. 6, item 618, Col. 13, lines 64 – 67, Witkowski).

Regarding Claims 13 and 27, the combination of Spencer in view of Witkowski discloses a structure, further comprising:

means responsive to selection of a given instance populated within the structure, for retrieving query elements represented by the given instance (Col. 4, lines 44 – 53, Spencer; and Fig.5, Col. 12, lines 8 – 9, Witkowski); and

means for building a query statement from the retrieved query elements (Col. 4, lines 58 – 64, Spencer; and Fig.5, Col. 12, lines 8 – 9, Witkowski).

Prior Art Made of Record

1. Witkowski et al. (US Patent No. 6,775,662 B1) discloses group pruning from cube, rollup, and grouping sets.
2. Li et al. (US Patent No. 5,428,737) discloses a comprehensive bilateral translation between sql and graphically depicted queries.
3. Banning et al. (US Patent No. 5,421,008) discloses a system for interactive graphical construction of a data base query and storing of the query object links as an object.
4. Carter et al. (US Patent No. 6,826,557 B1) discloses a method and apparatus for characterizing and retrieving query results.
5. Graham Spencer (US 5,577,241).
6. Nierernberg et al. (US 5,664,182).

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Giovanna Colan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2752. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Giovanna Colan
Examiner
Art Unit 2162
November 1, 2007


SHAHID ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER